

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,635	04/15/2004	Ramin Abhari	2003B043B	9004
23455 7590 01/04/2008 EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY 5200 BAYWAY DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
P.O. BOX 214 BAYTOWN.	9 ΓΧ 77522-2149		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
<i></i> ,			1796	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/825,635 Examiner Katarzyna Wyrozebski pears on the cover sheet with the cover	Applicant(s) ABHARI ET AL. Art Unit 1796
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	
The MAN INC DATE - Eabin account of the		1796
The MAN INC DATE of the committee of	pears on the cover sheet with the c	
		correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 E This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable are subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) The subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) The subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) The subjected to subjected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) The subjected to subject to subject to subjected to subject to s	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/12/07;11/14/07;10/31/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P	ate

10/825,635

Art Unit: 1796

In view of applicant's request for continued examination dated 12/12/2007 following office action is non-final. Applicants newly submitted IDS were considered although only a handful of references disclosed functionalized blends of polyolefins. As a result, new rejection has been applied against present claims. Double Patenting rejections were previously overcome by submission of Terminal Disclaimer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 21, 22, 28, 32, 33-38, 40, 41 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BEREN (US 6,143,825).

The prior art of BEREN discloses composition for an adhesive, which composition comprises blend of polyolefins (Abstract).

Polymer 1 – polypropylene, which is discussed in greater detail in the specification of BEREN, col. 2-4.

Polymer 2 – high melt strength polypropylene, having branching index less than 0.9. This polymer is also described in more detail in col. 4 of the teachings of BEREN.

10/825,635

Art Unit: 1796

Polymer 3 – Graft propylene based polymer, wherein the amount of grafting is in a range of 0.5-5 wt% as disclosed in col. 5. Although BEREN does not specifically disclose properties of the graft polymers, he discloses tradenames such as HERCOPRIME G211, POLYBOND 3000, FUSABOND 109 etc. These polymers are low molecular weight polymers grafted with maleic anhydride (per info from company websites). HERCOPRIME G211 has highest molecular weight disclosed, which is 100,000. Their branching ratios are less than 1.

Modification of propylene polymer – as indicated by the teachings of BEREN, propylene polymer can be grafted with functional compound utilizing peroxide (col. 5) and extruded signifying melt processing. Modifying monomers mentioned in addition to maleic anhydride include acrylic and methacrylic acids, itaconic acid, maleic acid, fumaric acid, cis-4-Cyclohexane-1,2-carboxylic acid, their anhydride, esters or imide derivatives.

Dot T-Peel - as it is known well in the art is a measure of adhesion of coating or adhesive to a substrate. Such property depends on the amount of the modification to the polymer, which in this case is grafting monomer on the propylene polymers. Although the prior art of BEREN does not specifically teach what this Dot T-Peel, such is viewed as inherent property since the amount of grafting monomer in the present invention is in the same range as the amount of grafting monomer in the teachings of BEREN. In addition, the prior art of BEREN clearly teaches that the composition disclosed therein has excellent adhesion since adhesive and substrate are not separable in many examples.

Additives – as taught by BEREN include fillers such as mica (examples) and chromium modified acrylic resin.

In the light of the above disclosure, the prior art of BEREN anticipates claims rejected above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BEREN (US 6,143,825) in view of KARANDINOS (US 2002/007033).

The discussion of the teachings of BEREN from paragraph 2 of this office action is incorporated here by reference.

Grafting – there are two ways in which propylene polymer can be grafted with maleic anhydride. One method was disclosed in BEREN, which utilized peroxide without solvent and with melt-extrusion. Second, which is obvious variation of the polymer is with use of non reactive diluent or solvent, a method known to one of ordinary skill in the art as suitable alternative.

Process – in the disclosure of BEREN, polypropylene is modified before being incorporated into the composition. However, functionalizing propylene polymers when blended is also viewed as suitable alternative method and therefore obvious to utilize for following reason. The composition comprises polypropylene resins, wherein the entire composition required good adhesion to the substrate. Applying functional group to the blend is also expected to graft polypropylenes and thereby still impart better adhesion properties.

The difference between the present invention and the teachings of BEREN is recitation of other properties of propylene polymer that can be grafted and utilized in adhesive as well as other additives.

The teachings of KARADINIOS have been discussed before in the office action dated 11/6/2006. As mentioned previously the prior art of KARADINIOS discloses propylene based

10/825,635 Art Unit: 1796

polymer for used in adhesives which has crystallinity of no more than 15%; Tg lower than -5°C; Mw of 25,000 and 28,000 as specified in examples 3 and 4.

Additives include tackifiers utilized in amount of 1-25 wt % [0049], and flow improved in amount of -20 wt %.

As it was also mentioned, the polymer of KARADINIOS is made by the similar catalyst system as that of the present invention, which is metallocene catalyst or Ziegler-Natta chemistry. Since the structure of the polymer depends on the catalyst system that is utilized to form the polymer, it is examiner's position that the catalyst of KARADINIOS will form propylene based polymer having overlapping isotactic run length, and amount of r dyad. Second reason why these two properties would be within applicant's invention is that they also influence crystallinity of the polymer.

Properties such as melt viscosity are viewed as inherent, since they depend on the strcture of the polymer utilized therein.

As it was mentioned before adhesives comprising grafted propylene polymer have better adhesion to the substrate as compared to the adhesive without functionalization.

In the light of the above disclosure it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize polymer of KARADINIOS in the teachings of BEREN and thereby obtain the claimed invention. It is well settled that it is prima facie obvious to combine two ingredients, each of which is targeted by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose. *In re Linder* 457 F,2d 506,509, 173 USPQ 356, 359 (CCPA 1972). Use of the polymer of KARADINIOS will still provide adhesive with good adhesion property due to presence of grafted maleic anhydride.

10/825,635 Art Unit: 1796

7. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BEREN (US 6,143,825) in view of WANG (WO 03/033612).

The discussion of the teachings of BEREN from paragraph 2 of this office action is incorporated here by reference.

Grafting – there are two ways in which propylene polymer can be grafted with maleic anhydride. One method was disclosed in BEREN, which utilized peroxide without solvent and with melt-extrusion. Second, which is obvious variation of the polymer is with use of non reactive diluent or solvent, a method known to one of ordinary skill in the art as suitable alternative.

Process – in the disclosure of BEREN, polypropylene is modified before being incorporated into the composition. However, functionalizing propylene polymers when blended is also viewed as suitable alternative method and therefore obvious to utilize for following reason. The composition comprises polypropylene resins, wherein the entire composition required good adhesion to the substrate. Applying functional group to the blend is also expected to graft polypropylenes and thereby still impart better adhesion properties.

The difference between the present invention and the teachings of BEREN is recitation of other properties of propylene polymer that can be grafted and utilized in adhesive as well as other additives.

With respect to the above difference the prior art of WANG discloses composition for an adhesive comprising blend of SPP and APAO (page 10), tackifier in amount of 15-65 wt % plasticizer in amount of 5-30 wt %, stabilizer or antioxidant in amount of 0-3 wt% and optionally wax in amount of 0-30 wt%.

The molecular weight of the APAO is preferable in a range of 10,000-100,000 g/mol (page 13); Tg in a range of -5 to -40°C; Crystallinity less than 30%.

The prior art of WANG discusses stereochemistry of the olefins and its effect on crystallinity of the polymer (pages 3-4). WANG teaches that isotactic propylene polymers are highly crystalline and usually form stiff material having high melting point and high density.

Since the APAO has low crystallinity and its Tg is lower than -5°C; its isotactic run length is low (1 or 2 as per figure of on page 4) as a result the r dyad is greater than 80% (page 5).

Properties such as melt viscosity are viewed as inherent, since they depend on the streture of the polymer utilized therein. Branching ratio is viewed as dependent on the amount of the modifying monomer, which as per teachings of BEREN is within the same range as that of the applicant's claimed invention.

As it was mentioned before adhesives comprising grafted propylene polymer have better adhesion to the substrate as compared to the adhesive without functionalization.

In the light of the above disclosure it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize polymer of WANG in the teachings of BEREN and thereby obtain the claimed invention. It is well settled that it is prima facie obvious to combine two ingredients, each of which is targeted by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose. *In re Linder* 457 F,2d 506,509, 173 USPQ 356, 359 (CCPA 1972). Use of the polymer of WANG will still provide adhesive with good adhesion property due to presence of grafted maleic anhydride.

10/825,635

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:30 AM-2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katarzyną Wyrozebski Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796

January 2, 2008